UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,079	02/11/2004	Timothy L. Robinson	134779.11601	1329
21269 PEPPER HAMI	7590 03/19/200 ILTON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE MELLON CENTER, 50TH FLOOR			MEINECKE DIAZ, SUSANNA M	
500 GRANT STREET PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3692	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/775,079	ROBINSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Susanna M. Diaz	3692			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)    This 3)    Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-15,17-33 and 35-45 is/are pendidal 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-31 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-15,32,33 and 35-45 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	n from consideration.				
· · · <u> </u>					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on _ is/are: a) accepted Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	or b)  objected to by the Examidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 3692

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 16, 2008 has been entered.

Claims 3, 16, and 34 have been cancelled.

Non-elected claims 17-31 stand as withdrawn.

Claims 1, 2, 4-15, 32, 33, and 35-45 are presented for examination.

#### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-15, 32, 33, and 35-45 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3692

4. Claims 1, 2, 4-11 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paul et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,104,443) in view of leshima et al. (US 2003/0078879 A1).

Paul discloses a method for conducting a biometrically-initiated financial transaction with delayed processing of payment, the method comprising:

[Claim 1] receiving information regarding a biometrically-initiated financial transaction involving a consumer and a merchant (col. 6, lines 1-19, 29-37; col. 10, lines 1-10);

determining whether to apply a delay to processing the financial transaction (col. 4, lines 40-56; col. 14, lines 45-51);

associating a payment instruction with the financial transaction, said payment instruction providing for a delay period in accordance with said determination (col. 4, lines 40-56; col. 14, lines 45-51);

delaying the processing of the financial transaction for a period of time in accordance with the delay period specified in the payment instruction (col. 4, lines 40-56; col. 14, lines 45-51); and

after the period of time has elapsed, initiating payment processing for the financial transaction (col. 4, lines 40-56; col. 14, lines 45-51);

[Claim 2] wherein said receiving comprises receiving a fingerprint (col. 6, lines 1-19, 29-37; col. 10, lines 1-10);

[Claim 4] wherein said initiating comprises initiating payment processing using the Automated Clearing House network (col. 2, lines 36-45; col. 3, lines 15-22; col. 4, lines

Page 4

Art Unit: 3692

37-51; col. 10, lines 23-25; col. 12, lines 9-29; col. 14, lines 29-51; col. 16, lines 33-38); [Claim 5] wherein said associating comprises automatically storing on at least one system database instructions for processing the financial transaction (Figs. 1-4; col. 4, lines 40-56; col. 14, lines 45-51);

[Claim 6] wherein said determining is based on one or more parameters (col. 4, lines 40-56 – The "float" can be a benefit for the cardholder; col. 12, line 59 through col. 13, line 14 and col. 17, lines 3-6 – Consumers must meet merchant-established qualifications in order to become cardholders; col. 14, lines 45-51);

[Claim 7] wherein said parameters include one or more of: the identity of the consumer; the date of a transaction; the time of a transaction; the identity of the payee; the location of a transaction; one or more products or services being purchased; the history of one or more consumer purchases, including purchases from multiple payees; the history of one or more consumer financial transactions; one or more records of membership in a shopping club; and one or more records of a consumer's affinity with a person, group or entity (col. 4, lines 40-56 – The "float" can be a benefit for the cardholder; col. 12, line 59 through col. 13, line 14 and col. 17, lines 3-6 – Consumers must meet merchant-established qualifications in order to become cardholders; col. 13, line 45 through col. 14, line 6; col. 14, lines 45-51);

[Claim 8] retrieving data about the consumer (col. 4, lines 40-56 – The "float" can be a benefit for the cardholder; col. 12, line 59 through col. 13, line 14 and col. 17, lines 3-6 – Consumers must meet merchant-established qualifications in order to become cardholders; col. 13, line 45 through col. 14, line 6; col. 14, lines 45-51);

[Claim 9] accessing data from a third party database (col. 2, lines 36-45; col. 3, lines 15-22; col. 4, lines 37-51; col. 10, lines 23-25; col. 12, lines 9-29; col. 14, lines 29-51; col. 16, lines 33-38 — Settling payments through the Automated Clearing House necessarily requires accessing data from a third party database; col. 8, lines 25-30 — Transaction settlement may involve accessing information through a third party); [Claim 10] wherein evaluation of said parameters occurs locally (col. 2, lines 36-45 — The merchant handles much of the transaction processing locally; col. 4, lines 14-18, 40-56 — The "float" can be a benefit for the cardholder; col. 12, line 59 through col. 13, line 14 and col. 17, lines 3-6 — Consumers must meet merchant-established qualifications in order to become cardholders; col. 14, lines 45-51); [Claim 11] charging a fee for said delay in processing, said fee being one or more of a fixed sum, a sum equal to a percentage of the financial transaction, and the accrual of

a fixed sum, a sum equal to a percentage of the financial transaction, and the accrual of interest (col. 4, line 41 through col. 5, line 9 – A consumer may surrender a monetary value in exchange for the MBD card or an extension of credit. The MBD card can give the cardholder the benefit of a "float"; col. 14, line 38 through col. 15, line 19 – Other fees associated with the MBD card, such as fees associated with transactions returned for non-sufficient funds, may also be charged to the cardholder);

[Claim 13] wherein said charged fee is collected by the merchant or by a third party (col. 3, lines 31-50; col. 4, line 41 through col. 5, line 9; col. 6, lines 15-28; col. 14, line 38 through col. 15, line 19).

Regarding claim 1, Paul discloses a determination of a delay period to apply to the processing of the financial transaction (col. 4, lines 40-56; col. 14, lines 45-51); however, Paul's delay period is set based on the type of payment made. Paul does not explicitly disclose that said determining includes selecting an amount of a delay period to apply to the processing of the financial transaction. However, leshima allows a customer to select further deferment of payment in exchange for payment interest (abstract, ¶¶ 48, 49, 55). This arrangement may provide the customer with added payment options for convenience. Both Paul and leshima handle payment options which can accommodate different periods of transaction processing delay; therefore, the Examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify Paul such that said determining includes selecting an amount of a delay period to apply to the processing of the financial transaction (as taught by leshima) in order to provide the customer with added payment options for convenience while making the customer fully aware of any fees associated with selecting a payment processing delay.

Paul discloses a method for conducting a financial transaction with delayed processing of payment, the method comprising:

[Claim 14] receiving information regarding a financial transaction involving a payor and a payee (col. 2, lines 36-45; col. 3, lines 15-22; col. 4, lines 37-51; col. 6, lines 1-19, 29-37; col. 10, lines 1-10, 23-25; col. 12, lines 9-29; col. 14, line 38 through col. 15, line 19; col. 16, lines 33-38);

Page 7

determining whether to apply a delay to processing the financial transaction (col. 4, lines 40-56; col. 14, lines 45-51);

associating a payment instruction with the financial transaction, said payment instruction providing for a delay period in accordance with said determination (col. 4, lines 40-56; col. 14, lines 45-51);

delaying the processing of the financial transaction for a period of time in accordance with the delay period specified in the payment instruction (col. 4, lines 40-56; col. 14, lines 45-51); and

after the period of time has elapsed, initiating payment processing for the financial transaction (col. 4, lines 40-56; col. 14, lines 45-51);

[Claim 15] wherein said receiving comprises receiving information regarding a financial transaction involving a payor and a payee, the payee having completed all payee obligations under the financial transaction (col. 2, lines 36-45; col. 3, lines 15-22; col. 4, lines 37-51; col. 6, lines 1-19, 29-37; col. 10, lines 1-10, 23-25; col. 12, lines 9-29; col. 14, line 38 through col. 15, line 19; col. 16, lines 33-38).

Regarding claim 14, Paul discloses a determination of a delay period to apply to the processing of the financial transaction (col. 4, lines 40-56; col. 14, lines 45-51); however, Paul's delay period is set based on the type of payment made. Paul does not explicitly disclose that said determining includes selecting an amount of a delay period to apply to the processing of the financial transaction. However, leshima allows a customer to select further deferment of payment in exchange for payment interest

Application/Control Number: 10/775,079

Art Unit: 3692

(abstract, ¶¶ 48, 49, 55). This arrangement may provide the customer with added payment options for convenience. Both Paul and Ieshima handle payment options which can accommodate different periods of transaction processing delay; therefore, the Examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify Paul such that said determining includes selecting an amount of a delay period to apply to the processing of the financial transaction (as taught by Ieshima) in order to provide the customer with added payment options for convenience while making the customer fully aware of any fees associated with selecting a payment processing delay.

Page 8

5. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paul et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,104,443) in view of leshima et al. (US 2003/0078879 A1), as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Official Notice [now admitted prior art]. [Claim 12] As per col. 4, line 41 through col. 5, line 9 of Paul, a consumer may surrender a monetary value in exchange for the MBD card or an extension of credit. The MBD card can give the cardholder the benefit of a "float." As seen in col. 14, line 38 through col. 15, line 19, other fees associated with the MBD card, such as fees associated with transactions returned for non-sufficient funds, may also be charged to the cardholder. However, Paul does not explicitly disclose the step of communicating said charged fee to the consumer electronically or by printed media. Official Notice is taken that it was old and well-known in the art of financial transactions at the time of Applicant's invention to disclose all transaction-related fees to an account-holder, either electronically or on paper; such disclosure is often required by law [now admitted prior

art]. Therefore, the Examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify Paul to explicitly perform the step of communicating said charged fee to the consumer electronically or by printed media in order to conform to federal and local laws that require full disclosure regarding transaction-related fees associated with a financial account.

Page 9

6. Claims 32, 33, 35-43 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paul et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,104,443) in view of leshima et al. (US 2003/0078879 A1), and further in view of Pare, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,870,723). [Claims 32, 33, 35-43, 45] Claims 32, 33, 35-43 and 45 recite limitations already addressed by the rejection of claims 1, 2, 4-11 and 13-15 above; therefore, the same rejection applies.

Furthermore, Paul does not explicitly disclose that the information received regards a *tokenless* biometrically-initiated financial transaction; however, Pare discloses a tokenless biometric transaction authorization system that allows transactions to be authorized through entry of a PIN and a biometric sample (abstract). The biometric authorization provides the benefit of effecting transactions more quickly and conveniently, as compared to systems using tokens (abstract; col. 5, lines 5-10). Since Pare presents a manner of improving the efficiency and convenience of Paul's system (which combines biometrics with its card-based transactions, as seen in column 6), the Examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify Paul such that the information received

Art Unit: 3692

regards a *tokenless* biometrically-initiated financial transaction in order to facilitate quicker and more convenient transactions.

Additionally, regarding claim 35, Paul discloses that said determining an amount of a delay period comprises receiving a selection of a payment option that defines the delay period to apply to the processing of the financial transaction (Paul: The delay is applied for customers using the MBD card (col. 4, lines 41-65). By processing a transaction using an MBD card versus a non-MBD card, it is determined that the MBD card and all of its benefits (including the processing delay) are to be implemented, including a 2-3 day delay period).

7. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paul et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,104,443) in view of leshima et al. (US 2003/0078879 A1), further in view of Pare, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,870,723), as applied to claim 32 above, and further in view of Official Notice [now admitted prior art].

[Claim 44] As per col. 4, line 41 through col. 5, line 9 of Paul, a consumer may surrender a monetary value in exchange for the MBD card or an extension of credit. The MBD card can give the cardholder the benefit of a "float." As seen in col. 14, line 38 through col. 15, line 19, other fees associated with the MBD card, such as fees associated with transactions returned for non-sufficient funds, may also be charged to the cardholder. However, Paul does not explicitly disclose the step of communicating said charged fee to the consumer electronically or by printed media. Official Notice is taken that it was old and well-known in the art of financial transactions at the time of

Art Unit: 3692

Applicant's invention to disclose all transaction-related fees to an account-holder, either electronically or on paper; such disclosure is often required by law [now admitted prior art]. Therefore, the Examiner submits that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify Paul to explicitly perform the step of communicating said charged fee to the consumer electronically or by printed media in order to conform to federal and local laws that require full disclosure regarding transaction-related fees associated with a financial account.

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susanna M. Diaz whose telephone number is (571) 272-6733. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Abdi can be reached on (571) 272-6702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3692

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Susanna M. Diaz/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3692